

## UNITED STATE PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ALANATT BOCKET NO.

08/916,527

08/22/97

HUNG

EXAMINER NORTHINGTON DAVI, Z

HM42/0701

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR LLP 620 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE

1612

07/01/98 DATE MAILED:

SIXTEENTH FLOOR NEWPORT BEACH CA 92660

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

## **OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY**

	December to communication (a) filed on
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
the	nortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire
Disposition of Claims	
X	Claim(s)
	Claim(s)is/are allowed. Claim(s)is/are rejected.
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction or election requirement.
X	Claim(s) are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
	See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
	The drawing(s) filed onis/are objected to by the Examiner.
	The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved disapproved.
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
Ш	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
	All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been
	received.
	received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)
٠	received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*	Certified copies not received:
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
	Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892
	Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).
	Interview Summary, PTO-413
	Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948
	Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
	The state of the s

-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-

Serial Number: 08/916,527

Art Unit: 1612

**DETAILED ACTION** 

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the 1.

claimed invention: see claims 1, 2, and 7-10.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held

to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 2 and 7-10 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the

species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon,

including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims

are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims

to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations

of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election,

applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 3

Serial Number: 08/916,527

Art Unit: 1612

A telephone call was made to Applicant's Representative on June 26, 1998 to request an 2.

oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37)

CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 3.

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Zinna N. Davis whose telephone number is (703) 308-4699.

znd

6/29/98